

| Sc | oring | (S) r |
|--------------|---------|----------------|
| \mathbf{c} | O1 1113 | g (<i>\\)</i> |

- 5 = Outstanding 4 = Very Good 3 = Good

- 2 = Adequate
- 1 = Poor
- 0 = No Evidence

| CRITERIA | WEIGHTING (W) | | SCORING (S) | ASSESSMENT VALUE (WxS) |
|--|--|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| Evidence to support the need for intervention and to evaluate effectiveness in terms of reducing casualties. | 4 | | | |
| Targets Sites, Routes or Areas with a history of KSI casualties (5 yrs) | 5 | | | |
| Supports casualty reduction (by user) (more than one weighting may apply) | Vulnerable | = 4 = 2 | | |
| Recorded Vehicular Speeds (above enforceable speed limit) | 10 % ≥ 20mph = 10 % ≥ 30mph = 10 % ≥ 40mph = 10 % ≥ 50mph = 10 % ≥ 60mph = | = 4 = 3 = 3 | | |

APPENDIX A

| | · | APPENDIX A |
|---|--------------------------|------------|
| Traffic Flows (AADF) | • 1000 - 2000 = 1 | |
| | • 2000 - 3000 = 2 | |
| | • 3000 - 4000 = 3 | |
| | • 4000 - 5000 = 4 | |
| | • 5000 + = 5 | |
| Potential impact on improving routes including to and from School | 5 | |
| Schemes effectiveness in changing behaviour / attitude to active travel | • School Pupils = 5 | |
| | • Wider Community = 4 | |
| Environmental Impact of scheme. | 3 | |
| Equalities Impact of scheme | 5 | |
| Evidence of support / involvement | • Local Member = 5 | |
| (more than one weighting may apply) | • School = 4 | |
| 0 0 0 0 | • Community = 3 | |
| Value for Money / Deliverability | 3 | |
| | | Total: |
| | | • |